From:	Foster, Nicholas (CPC)
To:	Watty, Elizabeth (CPC); Russell, Erica (CPC)
Cc:	CPC.469Stevenson
Subject:	RE: 469 Stevenson TDM Application Amendment
Date:	Thursday, November 3, 2022 9:47:41 AM

Great, thanks!

Nicholas Foster, AICP, LEED GA, Principal Planner
District 6, Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 628.652.7330 | www.sfplanning.org
San Francisco Property Information Map

From: Watty, Elizabeth (CPC) <elizabeth.watty@sfgov.org>
Sent: Thursday, November 3, 2022 9:43 AM
To: Foster, Nicholas (CPC) <nicholas.foster@sfgov.org>; Russell, Erica (CPC) <erica.russell@sfgov.org>
Cc: CPC.469Stevenson <CPC.469Stevenson@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: 469 Stevenson TDM Application Amendment

I agree, I think this is similar to what we did for 10 SVN. When an applicant is trying to move the same project forward, just needs a new approval (based on CEQA being overturned or modifications to conditions of approval, etc.), I think it makes sense to use the same record number with -02. When the project is being revised (design, SDB, etc.), we should open a new record. Let me know if this poses any issues.

Best,
Liz

Elizabeth Watty, LEED AP, Director
Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 628.652.7362 | www.sfplanning.org
San Francisco Property Information Map

From: Foster, Nicholas (CPC) <nicholas.foster@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, November 02, 2022 8:07 PM
To: Russell, Erica (CPC) <erica.russell@sfgov.org>; Watty, Elizabeth (CPC) <elizabeth.watty@sfgov.org>
Cc: CPC.469Stevenson <CPC.469Stevenson@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: 469 Stevenson TDM Application Amendment

Hi Erica:

Great question. @Watty, Elizabeth (CPC) can we please get your take here?

469 Stevenson (version 2.0) is back and will need a new family or records (e.g. DNX, CUA, SHD, TDM, ENV). I think Erica's suggestion of retaining the same record #, with the -02 suffix added at the end (e.g. 2017-014833DNX-02) makes sense. Agree? FYI, EP is using the same record (2017-014833ENV) for their T&M billing. Perhaps they should have created the -02 record as well to distinguish the two review periods for what is, ostensibly, the same project?

Thanks,

Nicholas Foster, AICP, LEED GA, Principal Planner
District 6, Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 628.652.7330 | www.sfplanning.org
San Francisco Property Information Map

From: Russell, Erica (CPC) <erica.russell@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, November 2, 2022 4:13 PM
To: Foster, Nicholas (CPC) <nicholas.foster@sfgov.org>
Subject: FW: 469 Stevenson TDM Application Amendment

Hi Nick,

Will this require a new TDM (TDM-02), or does the attachment just need to be uploaded to the existing TDM record?

Thank you,

Erica Russell, Planner Technician
Current Planning Division

San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 628.652.7377 | www.sfplanning.org
San Francisco Property Information Map

From: Carlos Vasquez <carlos@bldsf.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 02, 2022 3:52 PM
To: CTYPLN - Intake <CPC.Intake@sfgov.org>
Cc: Foster, Nicholas (CPC) <nicholas.foster@sfgov.org>; Tyler Kepler <tyler@bldsf.com>
Subject: 469 Stevenson TDM Application Amendment

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon,

Please find attached the TDM Application Amendment for the proposed 469 Stevenson Project (Block 3704/Lot 045).

Please let me know if you have any questions or comments. I've cc'd our Current Planner, Nick Foster.

Best,

Carlos Vasquez :: Project Manager

BUILD:

415.990.0654 C
bldsf.com//

315 Linden Street, San Francisco, CA 94102