

**From:** Delumo, Jenny (CPC) <jenny.delumo@sfgov.org>  
**Sent:** Friday, June 3, 2022 4:09 PM  
**To:** Steven Vettel <SVettel@fbm.com>; Lou Vasquez <Lou@bldsf.com>; tyler@bldsf.com  
**Cc:** Range, Jessica (CPC) <jessica.range@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** RE: 469 Stevenson Street: Revised EIR schedule

---

Hi Steve,

Thank you for the sponsor team's review and comments on the schedule. Please see below for planning department responses. We are happy to discuss at our next meeting.

Kind regards,  
Jenny

**Jenny Delumo, AICP (she/hers)**  
**Senior Planner and Transportation Review Team Lead**  
**Environmental Planning Division**  
San Francisco Planning  
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103  
Direct: 628.652.7568 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)  
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)

**From:** Vettel, Steven (25) x4902 <SVettel@fbm.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 31, 2022 10:46 AM  
**To:** Delumo, Jenny (CPC) <jenny.delumo@sfgov.org>; Range, Jessica (CPC) <jessica.range@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Hillis, Rich (CPC) <rich.hillis@sfgov.org>; Lou Vasquez <Lou@bldsf.com>; Tyler Kepler <tyler@bldsf.com>  
**Subject:** 469 Stevenson Street: Revised EIR schedule

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Jenny and Jessica, below are the project team's comments on the draft EIR schedule you circulated last week.

Line 29: reduce from 5 days to 1, and let's pick a date now rather than have a range This was to allow flexibility in scheduling. We will nail the exact date down.

Line 36: reduce from 5 days to 1m and let's pick a day now rather than have a range Yes, we will identify the exact date.

Line 28: reduce from 10 days to 5

- We already broke the analysis into 2 parts so we could review part 1 while Seifel still works on part 2- addressing displacement of cultural assets.
- Shortening the review for Part 1 of the displacement analysis (line 28) will not shorten the overall schedule except to give Siefel more time to address our

comments before providing a revised report that includes part 1 and 2- the complete report.

- We have a minimum of 5 reviewers and need to the time for each person to review, consolidate comments, have any internal discussions necessary before returning final comments.

Line 38: reduce from 10 days to 5 Same as comments for line 28

Line 39: reduce from 5 days to 1, and let's pick a day now rather than have a range Yes, we will identify the exact date.

Line 40: reduce from 10 to 5 Same as comments for line 28

Line 44: eliminate 10 days. Why does the Seifel report need to be peer reviewed? And if so, consolidate peer review with prior review periods We have decided to remove the peer review

Line 50: & 55: 20 days is excessive. what are the scheduling issues with Stantec? There are 3 Stantec consultants on this. These sections are coming in on Monday, June 6.

Line 57: reduce from 15 days to 10 Reducing this would not affect the schedule. Considering this will be the only draft of the geology and soils section we review, we would like to keep this and be able to review it thoroughly and provide direct text edits, rather than comments to Stantec.

Line 75: reduce from 15 days to 10 We don't think this is advisable considering the large number of reviewers and we anticipate there will need to be coordination internally to reconcile comments and provide direct edits. We anticipate requiring everyone to review within 2 weeks and then consolidate comments and have meetings the 3rd week to provide direct text edits rather than comments to Stantec

Line 78: reduce from 13 days to 8 Stantec needs the full amount of time to prepare the first full draft that we will review via workshops over 3 days.

Line 80: reduce from 9 days to 4 We can work with Stantec to see if they can pull together a document for publication sooner, but part of the issue is we only publish on a Wednesday, so Stantec would have at most 3 days to pull together the document after the workshops. This is not advisable.

Line 84: reduce from 20 days to 15 We can address the RTC schedule in more detail after the comment period closes and we have an idea of the scope of the comments.

Line 87: reduce from 20 days to 10 Same as comment for line 84

Line 88: reduce from 15 days to 10 Same as comment for line 84

Line 89: reduce from 3 days to 1 Same as comment for line 84

**Steven L. Vettel**

He/Him/His

---

D 415.954.4902 C 415.850.1931

**FARELLA  
BRAUN-MARTEL LTD**

235 Montgomery Street 17<sup>th</sup> FL  
San Francisco, CA 94104

---

---

This email has been scanned for email related threats and delivered safely by Mimecast.  
For more information please visit <http://www.mimecast.com>

---